CHECKLIST ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

Project Name:

Missoula Armed Forces Reserve Center

Proposed

Implementation Date:

Construction of Facility to begin Fall of 2008

Proponent:

Department of Military Affairs

Location:

Missoula County, Missoula Montana

County:

Missoula

I. TYPE AND PURPOSE OF ACTION:

Purchase of 30.49 Acres of private property to construct a 90,000 sq. ft. Armed Forces Reserve Center

II. PROJECT DEVELOPMENT

1. PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT, AGENCIES, GROUPS OR INDIVIDUALS CONTACTED:

Provide a brief chronology of the scoping and ongoing involvement for this project.

Legal notice was provided in the local paper the Missoulian requesting comments from September 19, 2007 to October 25, 2007 the Environmental Review, Review document was made available at the Missoula Public Library, DNRC Website and on the National Guard Website.

Project will go through a public hearing process with Missoula County in late 07' or early 08' to discuss proposed development of the site.

2. OTHER GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES WITH JURISDICTION, LIST OF PERMITS NEEDED:

Development of the site will be regulated by applicable state, federal and local regulations.

3. ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED:

Several properties were considered along the I-90 corridor some were eliminated because of access issues, configuration of lot, or did not have infrastructure in place i.e. sewer and water, or the parcel was too far away from public sewer an water to make it financial feasible to hook-up to a public system. Some were not feasible because of they were out of our price range.

III, IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT

- RESOURCES potentially impacted are listed, followed by common issues that may be considered.
- POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATIONS are explained following the resource heading.
- Enter "NONE" If no impacts are identified or the resource is not present.

4. GEOLOGY AND SOIL QUALITY, STABILITY AND MOISTURE:

Are fragile, compactable or unstable soils present? Are there unusual geologic features? Are there special reclamation considerations? Are cumulative impacts likely to occur as a result of this proposed action?

The soils in this area are mixed, ranging from gravelly loam, to silty clay loam. Slopes vary ranging from 0-30%. Development of the parcel will be regulated by applicable federal, state, and local regulations.

5. WATER QUALITY, QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION:

Are important surface or groundwater resources present? Is there potential for violation of ambient water quality standards, drinking water maximum contaminant levels, or degradation of water quality? Are cumulative impacts likely to occur as a result of this proposed action?

There are no surface water features on the parcel other than a storm water detention basin created by MDOT to hold run off from HWY 93. The basin will remain intact following construction of the HAFRC. The basin does not contain water year around. The average static water level in nearby well is 114 feet. Development of the parcel will be according to federal, state, and local regulations.

6. AIR QUALITY:

Will pollutants or particulate be produced? Is the project influenced by air quality regulations or zones (Class I air shed)? Are cumulative impacts likely to occur as a result of this proposed action?

Future use of the parcel will be regulated by applicable state, federal and local regulations.

7. VEGETATION COVER, QUANTITY AND QUALITY:

Will vegetative communities be permanently altered? Are any rare plants or cover types present? Are cumulative impacts likely to occur as a result of this proposed action?

A Natural Heritage Program query indicated one plant of concern – Pointed Broom Sedge. This plant is normally found in wet areas. There are no year round wet areas on this parcel. The parcel has been plowed for agricultural purposes. Development of the parcel will be regulated by applicable state, federal and local regulations.

8. TERRESTRIAL, AVIAN AND AQUATIC LIFE AND HABITATS:

Is there substantial use of the area by important wildlife, birds or fish? Are cumulative impacts likely to occur as a result of this proposed action?

No significant values regarding terrestrial, avian, and aquatic life and habitat were found to be associated with this parcel per Natural Heritage Program screen. Entire parcel has been disturbed by agricultural and/or development of site for roadways etc. Development of the parcel will be regulated by applicable state, federal and local regulations.

9. UNIQUE, ENDANGERED, FRAGILE OR LIMITED ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES:

Are any federally listed threatened or endangered species or identified habitat present? Any wetlands? Sensitive Species or Species of special concern? Are cumulative impacts likely to occur as a result of this proposed action?

A search of the Natural Heritage Program data has revealed no unique, endangered fragile or limited environmental resources associated with this parcel. Development of the parcel will be regulated by applicable state, federal and local regulations.

10. HISTORICAL AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITES:

me again a maga

Are any historical, archaeological or paleontological resources present?

The parcel consists of previously disturbed ground, surrounded by housing development, a gas station, light industrial uses, and HWY 93. We feel that if any artifacts were on the property then it would have been discovered, disturbed or destroyed by now. MT SHPO has been requested to concur that no adverse affects on historical property will result from this undertaking in a letter dated Sept. 12, 2007.

11. AESTHETICS:

Is the project on a prominent topographic feature? Will it be visible from populated or scenic areas? Will there be excessive noise or light? Are cumulative impacts likely to occur as a result of this proposed action?

The construction design of the proposed Missoula AFRC will be reviewed by the Missoula County Planning Department. Development of the parcel will be regulated by applicable state, federal and local regulations.

12. DEMANDS ON ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES OF LAND, WATER, AIR OR ENERGY:

Will the project use resources that are limited in the area? Are there other activities nearby that will affect the project? Are cumulative impacts likely to occur as a result of this proposed action?

Development of the parcel will be regulated by applicable state, federal and local regulations.

13. OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTS PERTINENT TO THE AREA:

Are there other studies, plans or projects on this tract? Are cumulative impacts likely to occur as a result of other private, state or federal current actions w/n the analysis area, or from future proposed state actions that are under MEPA review (scoping) or permitting review by any state agency w/n the analysis area?

No known studies, plans or projects planned for this parcel. Development of the parcel will be regulated by applicable state, federal and local regulations.

IV. IMPACTS ON THE HUMAN POPULATION

- RESOURCES potentially impacted are listed, followed by common issues that may be considered.
- POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATIONS are explained following the resource.
- Enter "NONE" If no impacts are identified or the resource is not present.

14. HUMAN HEALTH AND SAFETY:

Will this project add to health and safety risks in the area?

The sale and development of this parcel will result in no change to human health and safety. Any health and safety risk associated with future development will be addressed through enforcement of applicable state, federal and local regulations.

15. INDUSTRIAL, COMMERCIAL AND AGRICULTURE ACTIVITIES AND PRODUCTION:

Will the project add to or alter these activities?

NO. The land is currently vacant and is not longer used for agricultural purposes.

16. QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF EMPLOYMENT:

Will the project create, move or eliminate jobs? If so, estimated number. Are cumulative impacts likely to occur as a result of this proposed action?

Yes, the project will accommodate the National Guard members currently using the existing National Guard Armory on Reserve Street in Missoula. They will move to the new site at its completion.

17. LOCAL AND STATE TAX BASE AND TAX REVENUES:

Will the project create or eliminate tax revenue? Are cumulative impacts likely to occur as a result of this proposed action?

It will create and eliminate tax base revenues. The current Armory site on Reserve Street does not pay taxes to the City of Missoula because it is a State owned facility. The Reserve Street facility will be sold to construct a new facility on the Running W Ranch Parcels. The new owners of the Reserve Street property will have to pay taxes thus the City will see increased tax revenues. The new site at the Running W Ranch will not be required to pay taxes as it will be a state facility.

18. DEMAND FOR GOVERNMENT SERVICES:

Will substantial traffic be added to existing roads? Will other services (fire protection, police, schools, etc.) be needed? Are cumulative impacts likely to occur as a result of this proposed action?

The impacts could be substantially less. The parcels we are purchasing were slated for 25 residential units and 4 commercial lots. All services will be provided as part of the approval of the much larger Running W Ranch development. Any cumulative impacts are mitigated with the County through their development review processes.

19. LOCALLY ADOPTED ENVIRONMENTAL PLANS AND GOALS:

Are there State, County, City, USFS, BLM, Tribal, etc. zoning or management plans in effect?

Yes, The Running W Ranch Development is being reviewed by the County.

20. ACCESS TO AND QUALITY OF RECREATIONAL AND WILDERNESS ACTIVITIES:

Are wilderness or recreational areas nearby or accessed through this tract? Is there recreational potential within the tract? Are cumulative impacts likely to occur as a result of this proposed action?

No

21. DENSITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF POPULATION AND HOUSING:

Will the project add to the population and require additional housing? Are cumulative impacts likely to occur as a result of this proposed action?

NO (see number 18)

22. SOCIAL STRUCTURES AND MORES:

Is some disruption of native or traditional lifestyle or communities possible?

The sale of the parcel would not alter or disrupt existing social structures or traditional lifestyles. Development of the parcel will be regulated by applicable state, federal and local regulations.

23. CULTURAL UNIQUENESS AND DIVERSITY:

Will the action cause a shift in some unique quality of the area?

NO.

Is there a potential for other future uses for easement area other than for timber management? Is future use hypothetical? What is the estimated return to the trust? Are cumulative impacts likely to occur as a result of the proposed action?					is	
NC	This is none trus	t land.			·	
	EA Checklist	Name:	John Wheeler	Date:	9/12/07	\neg
	Prepared By:	Title:	Environmental Program I	Vlanager		
			V. FIND	ING		
25.	. ALTERNATIVE S	ELECTED):			
Со	nstruct Missoula AF	FRC on 30	.49 acre of parcel of the Ru	unning W Ranch Develop	ment.	
26 . No	. SIGNIFICANCE C ne	F POTEN	TIAL IMPACTS:			
	AIPER COR ELICE					
27.	NEED FOR FURI	HER ENV	IRONMENTAL ANALYSIS	3:		
	EIS		More Detailed EA	X No Furthe	er Analysis	
	EA Checklist	Name:	John B Wheeler			
	Approved By:	Title:	Environmental Progran	n Manager		
	Signature: 🔎	L. B C.	Sheele	Date : 9/1	7/07	

Is there a potential for other future uses for easement area other than for timber management? Is future use

24. OTHER APPROPRIATE SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC CIRCUMSTANCES:

ARNG ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST Enter information in the yellow shaded areas.				
	GROUND INFORMATION			
1. PROJECT NAME:	and the second of the second second second in the second s			
Missoula Armed Forces Reserve Center				
2. PROJECT NUMBER:	3. DATE:			
	17-Aug-07			
4. DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION OF THE PROPOSE				
located northwest of Missoula in Missoula County and is a AFRC The new facility will be approximately 90,000 squ	The proposed action is to purchase a 30.49 acre parcel of land and construct an Armed Forces Reserve Center. The parcel is located northwest of Missoula in Missoula County and is adjacent to HWY 93. The land is being purchased to build a new AFRC The new facility will be approximately 90,000 square feet with 28,000 sq. ft. of parking. The land lies within the Running W Ranch Subdivision and is zoned commercial. The entire 30.49 acres was previoulsy disturbed by agricultural			
5. START DATE (dd-mmm-yy): Nov. 2007	6. END DATE (dd-mmm-yy): Nov. 2009			
7. STATE/ORGANIZATION: Department of Military				
9. ADDRESS: P.O. Box 4789	7 Mail of Carriota Communication			
	lities Management Offid11, POC; Debra LaFountaine			
	789, Fort Harrison, MT 59636-4789			
13. COMM VOICE: 406-324-3134 14. COMM				
16. DSN FAX: 324-3082 17. EMAIL:	<u> </u>			
18. Was the project adequately addressed in a separate enviro	onmental review? Do not include Environmental YES VO			
Baseline Surveys (EBSs).				
If YES, fill out and Document Title:				
attach copy of the Reviewing Agency: decision document: Date of Review: (dd-mmm-vv):				
na parina ing ing ing mangangan pangangan kanangan kanangan ang mangangan ang mangangan ang mangangan ang mang				
	TORICAL INFORMATION			
1. Is the agency undergoing, or has it undergone, legal ac				
2. Has there been previous ARNG training, construction,				
Are there any known contentious environmental issues	s currently associated with the site?			
Explain any YES answers.				
Has the proposed type of equipment (tracked or wheel	<u> </u>			
If NO, what NEPA document covers this action? Document				
Provide copy of REC, FNSI, or ROD. This does Preparing A				
not include EBSs. Date (dd-m				
 Describe the environmental setting, including past and The site is located adjacent to a major HWY (93) and with commercial/light industrial uses. To the north and east of purposes. 				

PART C - DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED PROJECT/ACTION						
Include a map with the site clearly marked						
	ivities/Areas	Construction				
l	e/Repair/Rehabilitation	Lease or Lice	ense 🗌 Environmenta	i Plans/Surve	eys	
(check all that						
apply): Other (Expli	ain):					
2. Has any related real estate ac		a separate (environmental		YES	✓ NO
document within the last 5 years' If YES Document Title:	•		Data /dd m			
Number of acres to be disturb	ed: 2.72 acres	•	Date (dd-m	ımm-yy):		
4 Harris the site						
No.	sidential	∐ Indi	ustrial Park			
5. Briefly describe the surrounding		undevelope	d, recreation, residentia	l, etc):		
To the north of the property is res	sidential, south of the pr	operty is lia	ht industrial uses, to the	west of th	e property	is light
industrial/commercial uses, east					pp	
	pp					
6. Provide distances to ALL envi					V	
TYPE	Distance	Unit	TYPE		tance	Unit
a. Prime/Unique Farmland	3.0	miles	e. Wild/Scenic River		10.0	miles
b. Wilderness Area/National Park		miles	f. Coastal Zones		NA	
c. Sole-Source Aquifer	NA NA	<u> </u>	g. Floodplain	į į	8.0	miles
d. Wetlands	0.5	miles				
The state of the s	PART D - ENVIRON	IMENTAL	IMPACT ANALYSI	S		
1. AIR						
a. Is the proposed action in a nor	ı-attainment/maintenand	се агеа?			☐ YES	✓ NO
Attach a General Conformity Determination or Record of Non-Applicability (RONA) for Military Construction						
activities in non-attainment/ma	intenance areas.					
b. Will the proposed action requir	a an air emissions norm	nit	During proposed action	ו	YES	✓ NO
registration, license, etc?	e air air eiriissions pern	111,	During normal operation			
regionation, nochoc, etc:			proposed action is com	pleted	YES	✓ NO
c. Will the proposed action releas	e objectionable odors		During proposed action	1	✓ YES	□ NO
smoke, dust, suspended particles			During normal operation			
the air?	-, -,		proposed action is com		YES	✓ NO
d. Will the proposed action expos			During proposed action		☐ YES	✓ NO
(threatened or endangered plants children) to pollutants?	o or ammais, or		During normal operation		□ vec	[7] 110
Explain any YES answers and/or planned mitigation here.						
Construction of the site will create dust. A dust mitigation plan will be implemented.						
2. TRAFFIC						
TAPIL 1						
o. Will the proposed action result in the generation of or increase in vehicular traffic?						

a Will the proposed action upo an	During proposed action) \[\subseteq \text{VI}	ES NO			
c. Will the proposed action use and/or construct unimproved roads?			During normal operation		_	
			proposed action is com	npleted 🗹 Yi	es 🗌 no	
Explain any YES answers and/or planned mitigation here. Include aircraft types, number of sorties, and flight schedules (if					schedules (if	
applicable).						
There will be an increase in traffic to and from the site to construct the building. Once the structure is complete there will be						
an increase in traffic to the site fro						
using some unimproved roads to g					•	
roads maybe fully completed by th construction phase.	e ume we begin com	Struction. If	iere will be a dust contair	iment plan tollov	rea auring the	
constituction phase.						
3. NOISE						
	72.12.12.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1		During proposed action	ı 🔽 YE	s 🗌 NO	
a. Will the proposed action result in	n an increase in nois	e	During normal operation	ns after		
levels?			proposed action is com	\/T	s 🗹 no	
b. Is the proposed action close to a	any civilian activity w	horo noice n				
population (add any not listed in th				☐ YE	s ☑ NO	
TYPE	Distance	Unit	TYPE	Distance	11-4	
(1) Residence/Home	0.3	miles	(5) Library	7.0	Unit miles	
(2) Church	1.0	miles	(6) Wilderness Area	10.0	miles	
(3) School	1.0	miles	(6)	10.0	11,1100	
(4) Hospital	7.0	miles				
c. Will the proposed action involve	aircraft?			☐ YE	S 7 NO	
During proposed action ☐ YES ☑ NO						
d. Will the proposed action involve night (10 pm to 7 am) During normal operations after						
operations?			proposed action is com		s ☑ NO	
Explain any YES answers.						
Explain any 1E5 answers. The property is located in a commercial/light industrial area. There are truck stops / gas stations that open 24hrs. The site is						
near/adjacent to two very noisy HWY's and Interstates. Construction of the new facility will create additional noise. The						
increase will be relatively minor in						
4. EARTH	.					
	long torm discustion	no diantasa	monto compostion er ev	oracijas —	<u> </u>	
a. Will the proposed action result in of soil, a permanent change in topo	r iong-term disruptio paranhy, or around s	ns, uspace arface relief	menis, compaction, or ov features?	ercovering 7	S NO	
b. Will the proposed action result in a long-term increase in wind or water soil erosion, on YES NO or off the site, after the proposed action is completed?						
Explain any YES answers.						
The building site is located on a hill with slopes of 10-13% in some places. The building site will require a permanent change						
in the topography						
F NATURAL RECOURAGE						
5. NATURAL RESOURCES NOTE- A subject matter expert from the State/Territory ARNG Environmental Office must confirm the answers to these						
		ny ARNG En	ivironmental Office must	confirm the ansv	vers to these	
questions by signing the signature a. Will the proposed action change		here of any	enecies including mamm	ale birde —		
reptiles, amphibians, fish, trees, sh	-	•	· —	ais, bilds, YE	s 🗹 NO	
				□ ve	S V NO	
Will the proposed action impact any plants or enimals that are listed as condidates for						
nreatened, unique, rare, or endangered status?						
. Will the proposed action create barriers to prevent the migration or movement of animals?						

e. Will the proposed action deteriorate, alter, or destroy existing fish or wildlife habitat?			✓ NO		
f. Will the proposed action deplete any non-renewable natural resources?			✓ NO		
g. Will the proposed action alter, destroy, or significantly impact (wetlands, coastal zones, etc.)?	☐ YES	☑ NO			
Explain any YES answers.					
6. LAND USE					
a. Will the proposed action alter the present land use of the site?		✓ YES	□ NO		
t. AAR.	own/County Private				
property?					
c. Does the proposed action involve a real estate action (e.g., pu	rchase, lease, permit, or license)?	✓ YES	□ NO		
(1) Has an EBS been completed? If YES, attach the	EBS.	✓ YES	□ NO		
Answer the (2) Require an increase of acreage/amendment to ar	existing lease or license?	YES	☑ NO		
following if (3) Require new purchase of additional acres using fe	ederal, state, or other funds?	✓ YES	□ NO		
answered (4) Require a new lease, license, and/or land use per		☐ YES	✓ NO		
(5) Replace or dispose of existing facilities?		✓ YES	□ NO		
Explain any YES answers.					
An EBS has been completed. The present use is agricultural la	nd. The property is currently owned	by a priva	te party. The		
land will be purchased with State funds. The existing Missoula F					
land. The new AFRC will be constructed on this site and will house the personnel from the old Missoula Readiness Center.					
7 COLID WARTE					
7. SOLID WASTE	innered of on or off site?	Ti vre			
 a. Will the proposed action generate solid wastes that must be di Explain a YES answer. 	sposed or on or on site?	✓ YES	∐ NO		
Solid waste will be disposed of by private contractor.					
8. HAZARDOUS WASTE					
a. Will the proposed action generate hazardous waste?	Davis a server and a Res	YES	NO NO		
b. Will the proposed action store and/or prepare for the	During proposed action During normal operations after	∐ YES	✓ NO		
disposal of hazardous waste or materials?	proposed action is completed	☑ YES	□ NO		
	During proposed action		□ NO		
c. Does the proposed action require a permit to	During proposed action During normal operations after	☐ YES	Ŭ NO		
accumulate hazardous waste or materials at the site?	proposed action is completed	☐ YES	☑ NO		
d. Does the proposed action have an increased risk for	During proposed action	YES	☑ NO		
explosion, spill, or the release of hazardous waste or					
materials (including but not limited to pesticides,	During normal operations after				
chemicals, or radiation)?	proposed action is completed	☐ YES	✓ NO		
e. Will the proposed action require the presence of	During proposed action	☐ YES	☑ NO		
trained personnel to handle and dispose of hazardous	During normal operations after	✓ YES			
ARNG REC Form Jun 06 Previous Editions	Are Obsolete	TE3	eage V P		

f. Will the proposed action involve the opportunity for hazardous material minimization and recycling?	During proposed action During normal operations after	YES	✓ NO
nazardous material minimization and recycling?	proposed action is completed	☐ YES	☑ NO
Explain any YES answers. The MTARNG reaquires at least one person at every facility to be tr hazardous material/waste. The Missoula AFRC may have small an	rained in the proper handling and noncentrial nounts of HW/HM on site diring no	nanagemnt (rmal operati	of ing status.
g. Do you have a plan describing procedures for the proper handling, storage, use, disposal, and cleanup of	During proposed action During normal operations after	✓ YES	□ NO
hazardous and/or toxic materials? Explain any NO answers.	proposed action is completed	✓ YES	∐ NO
O MATER			
 WATER Will the proposed action change currents, course, or direction of 	water movements in marine or		
fresh waters?	Water movements at marine of	YES	✓ NO
b. Will the proposed action discharge sediments, liquids, or solid wastes into surface waters, or alter the surface water quality?	During proposed action During normal operations after proposed action is completed	☐ YES	☑ NO ☑ NO
 Will the proposed action change the quality and/or quantity of gro additions or withdrawals, or through interception of an aquifer by cut 	und waters, either through direct	YES	☑ NO
d. Does the proposed action have the potential to accidentally spill hazardous or toxic materials in or near a body of water?	During proposed action During normal operations after proposed action is completed	YES YES	☑ NO ☑ NO
e. Does the proposed action have the need for a Spill Control and Countermeasure Plan, and/or Installation Spill Contingency Plan (SPCC and/or ISCP)?	During proposed action During normal operations after proposed action is completed	YES YES	☑ NO ☑ NO
f. Will the proposed action construct facilities or implement actions within floodplains and/or wetlands?	During proposed action During normal operations after proposed action is completed	YES YES	✓ NO
g. Does the proposed action require an NPDES stormwater or waste	ewater discharge permit?	YES	☑ NO
h. Does the proposed action involve the construction of a water or w system (oil water separators, grease traps, etc)?	astewater treatment	YES	☑ NO
Explain any YES answers.			

10. CULTURAL RESOURCES		112		
a. Does the proposed action involve an undertaking (Reference: 36 building/structure 50 years or older?	CFR 800.161[y]) to a	YES	☑ NO	
If YES to Question a, has an architectural inventory/evaluation been	completed to			
determine eligibility for the National Register of Historic Places?	Compressed to	YES	□ NO	
b. Does the proposed action involve ground disturbance? (Reference		✓ YES	Ои	
If YES to Question b, has an archaeological inventory been complet	ed to determine if there	YES	☑ NO	
are any archaeological sites present? If YES to Question b, did the state contact any Federally-recognized	Tribes to comment on			
the proposed action?	Tibes to confinent on	YES	✓ NO	
c. Does the proposed action fall under any Federal or Nationwide Pr	ogrammatic Agreement or	☐ YES	✓ NO	
Programmatic Comment? If YES, reference it below.		۵, ت		
d. Has the state contacted the SHPO for comments?		YES	✓ NO	
e. Does the proposed action have the potential to affect any tradition	• •	YES	✓ NO	
sites? If YES, attach coordination with Federally-recognized Tribes.				
Explain any YES answers. The site consists of 31 acres of previously disturbed land.				
The site consists of 31 acres of previously disturbed failu.				
11. POPULATION				
a. Will the proposed action alter the location, distribution, density, or	growth rate of the human	☐ YES	✓ NO	
population of an area?				
b. Will the proposed action affect children?	During proposed action	YES	☑ NO	
Reference: Executive Order 13045	During normal operations after	<u></u>		
	proposed action is completed	☐ YES	☑ NO	
 Are there any Environmental Justice issues associated with the presence: Executive Order 12898. 	roposed action?	YES	☑ NO	
Explain any YES answers.				
Explain any 123 answers.				
			:	
12. INFRASTRUCTURE				
a. Will the proposed action result in the need for new systems or sul	ostantial alterations to the following			
utilities:		,		
(1) Electrical power, fossil fuel or other (specify):		✓ YES	□ NO	
(2) Drinking water?			□ №	
(3) Wastewater treatment?			□ №	
• •			□ №	
(4) Sewer collection system?		✓ YES ✓ YES		
(5) Wash racks?		✓ YES	∐ NO	
		YES		

Explain any YES answers. The new facility will require all of the abe provided by seller, eletrical power in the provided by seller.	is existing to the site		sal will be provided TRAINING (IRT	d by a private contractor
1. REQUESTER INFORMATIO				tides meteories e signi dependamenta dominares e principalmente distribuir est distribuir più est experiente Tides meteories e signi dependamenta dominares e principalmente distribuir est distribuir più est experiente d
a. REQUESTER NAME:		b. TITL	F·	
c. AGENCY NAME:	·	D. 1111.	- Imre	<u> </u>
d. AGENCY ADDRESS:				
e. COMM VOICE:	f. COMM F	AX:	Jal	DSN VOICE:
h. DSN FAX:	i. EMAIL:		[8-	
	·	TUNICIPAL [YOUTH/CHARITABLE	
	GINEER	TRANSPORTATION	TECH ASSISTANCE	
k SUPPORT TYPE				
REQUESTED:	MMUNICATION L	ADMINISTRATIVE	CEREMONIAL	PARADE
	HER (SPECIFY):			<u> </u>
2. ASSIGNED UNIT INFORMA	TION (Filled or	ıt by assigned l	National Guard	f unit)
a. UNIT ASSIGNED PROJECT:			b. SERVICE C	COMPONENT:
c. UNIT ADDRESS:				
d. PROJECT OFFICER RA	NK:	NAME:		
e. SITE VISIT DATE (dd-mmm-yy				
f. PROJECT ASSESSMENT (Give deta Section 651.29 of 32 CFR Part 651. If the proje	iled assessment of project qualifies for a Catego	ect requirements. Revier orical Exclusion, indicate	the Categorical Exclus	sion code).
g. ESTIMATED NUMBER OF HOURS		h. PERSONNEL	OFFICE	R ENLISTED
REQUIRED TO COMPLETE PROJECT	DT:	REQUIRED:		

PART F - DETERMINATIO	
a. Does the proposed action have the potential to degrade the quality of the endiversity of the environment?	vironment, or curtail the YES NO
b. Does the proposed action have the potential for cumulative impacts on envir	onmental quality when
the effects are combined with those of other Federal/State actions, or when the	e action is of lengthy
duration?	
c. Does the proposed action have environmental effects that will cause substar	ntial adverse effects on
the human or natural environment, either directly or indirectly?	L YES □ NO
On the basis of this initial evaluation, the following is appropriate (check	one):
An Environmental Baseline Survey (EBS) and a new checklist of	once the EBS is completed.
☑ IAW 32 CFR 651 Appendix B, the proposed action qualifies for a Ca	
does not require a Record of Environmental Consideration.	
A Record of Environmental Consideration (REC).	
☐ An Environmental Assessment (EA).	
☐ A Notice of Intent (NO) to prepare an Environmental Impact Sta	tement (EIS)
A	tement (Lio).
#	
[[40]] [1]	MALO
Concurrence	" The Blut
Signature of Proportent (Requester)	Environmental Program Manager
•	-
CPT John Gehring	John Wheeler
Printed Name of Proponent (Requester)	Printed Name of Env. Program Manager
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Trinted Hearte of Erry, Frogram Manager
1750A07	9-17-07
Date Signed	Date Signed
==10 0.g.100	Date Signed
Concurrence (as needed):	
	- 7/1 /
Klemo h / lle	MLL
Signature of Landowner	Signature of Commander
•	organization of commissings.
WALDO WILLIAMS DIEVELOPATENT LLP	LT. COL FRANK LITTLE
Printed Name of Landowner	
Fillited Name of Landowner	Printed Name of Commander
9/10/10	10 C-N -
1//8/0/	18 SEA07
Date Signed	Date Signed
	,
1-16	Colon 5 2 hh
Signature of Facilities Officer	Signature of Plans & Operations Officer
<u> </u>	J.g. actaro of France & Operations Officer
Lt. Col. Frank Little	1.7/ -11/1/ 2.
Printed Name of Facilities Officer	VORA E. WELSH, COL
Finited Name Of Facilities Officer	Printed Name of Plans & Operations Officer
18 SEX 07	8/19/25
	1/18/01
Date Signed	Date Signed

ARNG RECORD OF EN	/IRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION				
1. PROJECT NAME:					
Missoula Armed Forces Reserve Center					
2. PROJECT NUMBER:	3. DATE:				
0	17-Aug-07				
4. PROJECT START DATE (dd-mmm-yy):	Nov. 2007				
5. PROJECT END DATE (dd-mmm-yy):	Nov. 2009				
S. DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION OF THE PROPOSED ACTION: The proposed action is to purchase a 30.49 acre parcel of land and construct an Armed Forces Reserve Center. The parcel is located northwest of Missoula in Missoula County and is adjacent to HWY 93. The land is being purchased to build a new AFRC The new facility will be approximately 90,000 square feet with 28,000 sq. ft. of parking. The land lies within the Running W Ranch Subdivision and is zoned commercial. The entire 30.49 acres was previoulsy disturbed by agricultural activities.					
7. CHOOSE ONE OF THE FOLLOWING:					
☐ An existing Environmental Assessment add	, , ,				
EA Date (dd-mmm-yy)	Conducted By:				
☐ An existing Environmental Impact Statemer	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
EIS Date (dd-mmm-yy	Conducted By:				
 After reviewing the screening criteria and com a Categorical Exclusion (select one below). 	pleting the ARNG Environmental Checklist, this project qualifies for				
Categorical Exclusion Code: See 32 CFR 651 App. B	n of an addition to an existing structure or new				
This project is exempt from NEPA requiremer Cite superseding law:	nts under the provisions of:				
3. REMARKS:					
Signature of Proponent (Requester)	Concurrence: Jhbhhah Environmental Program Manager				
CPT John Gehring	John B. Wheeler				
Printed Name of Proponent (Requester)	Printed Name of Env. Program Manager				
9-17-07	9-1-7-12-12				
Date Signed	Date Signed				